

BEST AVAILABLE COPY**REMARKS**

This is a full and timely response to the outstanding Request for Information mailed October 24, 2005. The Request for Information has been prompted by Applicant's submission of Exhibits A-E and G-K received in the USPTO on April 28, 2005, which were submitted in response to a prior Request for Information.

With regard to Exhibits A-E and G-K, the Office Action has requested the following information:

Please identify which of these web-enabled cameras were commercially at the time of the invention. If none of these cameras were commercially available, then, applicant is requested to provide the information on the web-enabled camera as disclosed in the application.

Applicant respectfully notes that Exhibits A-E all reference the same camera (*i.e.*, PalmCam PV-DC2590). Upon information and belief, Applicant believes that the identified camera was commercially available at the time of the filing date of the present application.

With regard to Exhibits G and H, the Office Action has requested the following information:

Please provide the information on when HP Cartogra was released to the public, and, its capability and limitations at the time of release, and, how the claimed invention differs from the previous release(s).

With regard to the release date, Applicant respectfully notes, upon information and belief, that the information pertaining to release date is unknown and/or is not readily available to the Applicant. With regard to Cartogra features at the time of the invention, Applicant respectfully submits, upon information and belief, that Cartogra required a PC to upload content. There was no supported access directly from a camera. Further, Cartogra's feature set allowed storing of digital photos by user name, but had no concept of a user profile that would indicate what to do with a photo upon upload. In other words, Cartogra was a basic

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

file folder storage model, which required a manual step to share or send the images (e.g., to a photo finisher for prints). In contrast, the embodiments of the present invention enable user profiles to be created to automate the actions you can take with a photo once it is uploaded directly from the camera. Thus, the embodiments of the present invention adds the user profile concept to automatically take action on photos when they are uploaded.

The Office Action further requests information as shown on page 3:

To expedite the prosecution of this application, in response to this requirement please provide information as requested earlier, any known publications, papers, brochures, manual and press releases that describe web enabled digital devices, how they could be used to communicate over the internet for transfer of images. Applicant should not erase the dates.

In response, Applicant respectfully notes, upon information and belief, that the requested information is unknown and/or is not readily available to the Applicant.

CONCLUSION

In light of the foregoing information, Applicant respectfully requests favorable consideration and allowance of the present application and all pending claims. If, in the opinion of the Examiner, a telephonic conference would expedite the examination of this matter, the Examiner is invited to call the undersigned attorney at (770) 933-9500.

Respectfully submitted,



David Rodack, Reg. No. 47,034